

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF
OF THE MOORE CITY COUNCIL
THE MOORE PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY
THE MOORE RISK MANAGEMENT BOARD
AND A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE MOORE CITY COUNCIL
FEBRUARY 2, 2009 – 6:30 P.M.**

The City Council of the City of Moore met in the City Council Chambers, 301 North Broadway, Moore, Oklahoma on February 2, 2009 at 6:30 p.m. with Mayor Glenn Lewis presiding.

David Roberts
Councilman, Ward I

Robert Krows
Councilman, Ward I

Kathy McMillan
Councilwoman, Ward II

Janie Milum
Councilwoman, Ward II

Shelia Haworth
Councilwoman, Ward III

Terry Cavnar
Councilman, Ward III

PRESENT: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
ABSENT: Cavnar (arrived late)

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: City Manager, Steve Eddy; Assistant City Manager, Stan Drake; City Attorney, Randy Brink; Fire Chief, Charles Stephens; Economic Development Director, Deidre Ebrey; Community Development Director, Elizabeth Jones; Risk Manager, Gary Benefield; Finance Director/City Clerk, Jim Corbett; Parks and Recreation Director, Carson Lynch; Police Chief, Ted Williams; Veolia Water Project Manager, Robert Pistole; and Purchasing Agent, Carol Folsom.

The invocation was given by Reverend Leslie Johnson with the Eastlake Cumberland Presbyterian Church.

Agenda Item Number 2 being:

CONSENT DOCKET:

- A) APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING HELD JANUARY 20, 2009.
- B) RECEIVE AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD DECEMBER 9, 2008.
- C) APPROVE AND RATIFY CLAIMS AND EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2008-2009 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,055,119.10.

Councilwoman Haworth moved to approve the consent docket in its entirety, second by Councilman Roberts. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None
Absent: Cavnar

THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING WAS RECESSED AND A PUBLIC HEARING CONVENED AT 6:35 P.M.

Agenda Item Number 3 being:

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT REGARDING THE COMPLETED 2007 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROJECT.

Elizabeth Jones, Community Development Director, advised that the completed CDBG project replaced portions of existing sewer lines in the Regency Park Addition between NW 27th and NW 23rd Streets. Ms. Jones stated that over 2,000 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer line and 13 manholes were replaced, which serve Yorkshire Avenue, Queensbury Road, and Picadilly Circle. Ms. Jones advised that the City received a \$66,000 Community Development Block Grant to fund the project, which required matching funds by the City. In addition to the matching funds the City paid an additional \$64,000 due to an increase in the cost of materials.

There were no citizen comments.

THE PUBLIC HEARING WAS CONVENED AND THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING WAS RECONVENED

Agenda Item Number 4 being:

CONSIDER APPROVAL OF MOORE VISION 20/20 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 11 LOCATED IN THE SE/4 OF SECTION 3, T10N, R3W, BEING NORTH OF NW 27TH STREET AND WEST OF HILLCREST, FROM LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO HEAVY COMMERCIAL. APPLICATION BY RICHARD MONTGOMERY.

Agenda Item Number 5 being:

CONSIDER REZONING APPLICATION NO. RZ-832 TO REZONE A PART OF THE SE/4 OF SECTION 3, T10N, R3W, BEING NORTH OF NW 27TH STREET AND WEST OF HILLCREST, FROM R-1 SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO C-5 AUTOMOTIVE AND RECREATIONAL DISTRICT; AND APPROVE ORDINANCE NO. 642(08). APPLICATION BY RICHARD MONTGOMERY.

Agenda Item Number 6 being:

CONSIDER APPROVAL OF MOORE VISION 20/20 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 13, LOCATED IN THE SE/4 OF SECTION 3, T10N, R3W, BEING NORTH OF NW 27TH STREET AND WEST OF HILLCREST, FROM LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO HEAVY COMMERCIAL. APPLICATION BY CHRIS RAPRICH.

Agenda Item Number 7 being:

CONSIDER REZONING APPLICATION NO. RZ-837 TO REZONE A PART OF THE SE/4 OF SECTION 3, T10N, R3W, BEING NORTH OF NW 27TH STREET AND WEST OF HILLCREST, FROM R-1 SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO C-5/PU AUTOMOTIVE AND RECREATIONAL DISTRICT WITH A PERMISSIVE USE FOR A MACHINE SHOP; AND APPROVE ORDINANCE NO. 644(09). APPLICATION BY CHRIS RAPRICH.

Elizabeth Jones, Community Development Director, stated that Agenda Items No. 4 and 5 were companion items. Ms. Jones advised that the applicant proposed to develop a vacant lot in the

Lockhoma Estates Addition located north of NW 27th and west of Hillcrest Drive (aka 2821 Hillcrest), into an office/warehouse use requiring a C-5 zoning. Ms. Jones advised that public water and sewer were available to the site and access would be provided by Hillcrest Drive. She advised that the property was designated in the City's comprehensive plan as Low Density Residential; however, the applicant submitted Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 11 to amend the plan to Heavy Commercial.

Ms. Jones stated that staff researched the current and past land use trends for zoning within the area. Two public hearings were also held at which time several citizens expressed concern regarding the encroachment of commercial development into their neighborhood, increased heavy commercial traffic, and the negative impact a commercial development would have on the value of their homes. Staff determined from their research that the area of Hillcrest between NW 27th and Cass was Heavy Commercial in nature; however, the character of the neighborhood changes north of Cass on the west side of Hillcrest Drive when it reverts back to residential. Based on the current commercial zoning for six of the ten parcels located on the west side of Hillcrest drive, staff believes the future use of the property for residential would be undesirable and unlikely. Therefore, staff believes the highest and best use of the property is Heavy Commercial, which coincides with the C-5 zoning, and staff recommended approval of Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 11 and Rezoning Application No. RZ-832. It was noted that if the agenda items were approved the development of the property must meet all City Codes, including landscaping regulations, aesthetic requirements, and fencing requirements. Ms. Jones commented that several residents were in attendance at the meeting in opposition to the item.

Councilman Krows asked if there has been interest from other developers to use the land for any other purpose. Ms. Jones indicated that she did not receive any inquiries regarding the property being used for residential purposes. She stated that there were five lots zoned single-family residential on the west side of the street. One is an existing business, one is a vacant lot, one is a remodeled empty home, and two lots belonging to Mr. Howard.

Steve Eddy, City Manager, suggested that Ms. Jones also talk about Agenda Items No. 6 and 7 since they relate to the items being discussed. Ms. Jones stated that Agenda Items No. 6 and 7 involved a different applicant, but the property happens to be located next door to the property being discussed. The applicant, Chris Raprich, owns the Dynamic Tool and Supply Company located at 2817 Hillcrest, and has single-family residential zoning that was grandfathered in although it was a heavy commercial business. The applicant proposed a C-5 zoning with permissive use for a machine shop in order to bring the legal non-conforming use into compliance with the City Code. Ms. Jones stated that this would require an amendment to the comprehensive plan. Past trends and current uses were reviewed for the area and it was established that this particular business had been operating at this location since approximately 1978. Staff encourages this type of application in order to clean up future zoning issues. Because the existing heavy commercial business at this location had existed for many years without any complaints, staff recommended approval of the application.

Ms. Jones noted that both rezoning applications had previously been combined into one application. Several public meetings were held to discuss the issue of Hillcrest and what to do about the lots. At the second Planning Commission meeting it was determined that there could be some miscommunication. Staff met with both applicants and it was decided that the rezoning application would be divided into two separate applications. Ms. Jones stated that it should also be noted that the original application included the vacant remodeled home. However, neither of the applications being considered includes the home, only the vacant lot.

Councilman Krows asked if the lots were located next to one another and if, from staff's point of view, approval of the rezonings would cause a negative impact on the neighborhoods. Ms. Jones stated that the existing business would not have a negative impact on the neighborhood since it had been there for a long time and staff never received a complaint about them. The vacant lot is a very small lot and any

development would be small in nature causing very little traffic. She also felt that because of the small size there would be very little impact on the neighborhood, and any negative impact could be mitigated.

Councilman Roberts stated his opinion that Mr. Raprich had used his property as a business and, if the application was a continuation of the business, would pose no additional negative impact. He asked what the use for Mr. Montgomery's application would be. She advised that it would be office/warehouse space similar to a contractor with storage of equipment. Ms. Jones added that any outdoor storage would be screened from the street.

Richard Montgomery stated that the plans for the 60 x 150 foot lot included a 2,400 square foot warehouse which, factoring in guidelines for set backs, would completely fill the lot. He planned to erect a sight proof fence in the front along the street that would remain closed after hours. He added that there would not be any outside storage. Councilman Roberts asked if a high percentage of the traffic would be Mr. Montgomery's because he would not be serving outside customers through the facility. Mr. Montgomery responded that this was correct.

Citizens to Speak:

Bobby Simpson, 2824 Hillcrest, asked what would occur if at a later date Mr. Montgomery sold the property to someone who would open a business that created three times the traffic or was a salvage yard. He stated that Council should consider the homeowners that live in the neighborhood. Ms. Jones indicated that the existing salvage yard was grandfathered in and any future salvage yard would not be permitted in a C-5 zoning.

Steve Eddy, City Manager, reminded the City Council that they are not considering a specific business, only a specific zoning, that has a wide variety of uses. Anyone who owns the property may use the property for the uses permitted in the C-5 zoning district.

David White, 609 Lockhoma, expressed his concerns over the rezoning of the property with residential homes in the area. He stated that Mr. Montgomery owned a large lot on the other end of the street that had access to Shields, and felt this would be a better option. He commented that he was also speaking on behalf of his wife and his mother who lived at 2836 Hillcrest. Mr. White was concerned what would happen if Mr. Montgomery sold his property after it had been rezoned to C-5.

Martha Arnold, 13800 Alicia Springs, stated that she and her husband do not live on Hillcrest but own two rental properties on Hillcrest and one on Lockhoma. She advised that they were opposed to the rezoning and the additional traffic it would bring. Ms. Arnold also requested that the City Council look into the businesses along Shields and require the owners to clean them up.

Gary Lunow, 2936 Hillcrest, expressed his opinion that the commercial businesses would prevent them from selling their homes in the future. He commented that the street is in terrible shape and additional commercial traffic would make it worse. He stated that Mr. Montgomery had a sight-proof fence but items were already stacked so tall that you could see them above the fence. Councilman Roberts asked, since the property would likely never be used for residential property, what the citizens living there see as an appropriate use for the site. Mr. Lunow agreed that it would likely never be sold as residential property, but felt additional commercial properties would certainly prevent it from happening. He added that Mr. Montgomery did a good job remodeling the house. Councilman Roberts asked if Mr. Lunow had any problem with the Chris Raprich property. Mr. Lunow stated that the Raprich's have been good neighbors and did not feel anything could be done at the commercial property since it was already grandfathered in.

Councilman Krows asked Ms. Jones for a land development map. After review of the map Council determined they would like to tour the property. Mayor Lewis and Councilman Krows apologized to the applicants and the citizens for the delay, but felt they should study the issue in more detail in an effort to make the right decision. It was suggested that a traffic counter be placed in the addition to get a better idea of the amount of traffic traveling through the addition.

Richard Montgomery thanked the City Council for taking the time to do the research in order to make the right decision regarding the rezoning applications. He stated that he did own another large section of land in the area, but in order to develop the land from Shields to Hillcrest would take approximately \$500,000. He felt the best use of the subject property was for a single structure to go on it. He said that the house he recently renovated was in terrible shape and he rebuilt it with new plumbing, electrical, windows, and obtained a permit for a curb cut and added a driveway. Most of the other drives are gravel. He felt his projects were done in a professional manner and helped to beautify the neighborhoods. Lockhoma is unique in that it has a mixture of businesses and residences. He would like to use the adjoining property by putting a warehouse on it.

Councilwoman Haworth asked if the City Council could tour the addition together in one vehicle. Mr. Eddy said that if the Council went together it would need to be posted as a public meeting. It was determined that the meeting would be posted for Saturday, February 7, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.

Councilman Roberts moved to table Agenda Items No. 4, 5, 6 and 7 until the February 17, 2009 City Council meeting, second by Councilman Krows. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

Agenda Item Number 8 being:

CONSIDER AUTHORIZING STAFF TO SOLICIT BIDS FOR CODE ENFORCEMENT NUISANCE ABATEMENTS.

Elizabeth Jones, Community Development Director, indicated that the City attempts to rebid the Code Enforcement Nuisance Abatement contract every one to two years. The City recently contracted with contractors that have been providing good service but felt with the recent downturn in the economy the City could obtain better prices.

Councilwoman Haworth moved to authorize staff to solicit bids for code enforcement nuisance abatements, second by Councilman Krows. Motion carried. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

Agenda Item Number 9 being:

CONSIDER AWARDDING BID NO. 089-007 "STORMWATER QUALITY NUISANCE ABATEMENT" TO PROPERTY IMAGE SOLUTIONS.

Elizabeth Jones, Community Development Director, stated that the City received four bids on Bid No. 089-007 "Stormwater Quality Nuisance Abatement." Two of the contractors, Stormwater Solutions and Property Image Solutions, submitted bids very close in price. In order to determine the lowest bidder Ms. Jones compared bid prices on three common stormwater violations. On most of the small jobs Property

Image Solutions was the low bidder primarily due to their low service call charge. However, Stormwater Solutions, appeared more cost effective on the very large jobs. Since the majority of the City's jobs would be smaller in nature she recommended awarding the bid to Property Image Solutions.

Ms. Jones reminded Council that Stormwater violations would now be treated as Code Enforcement violations with a ten day notice. She stated that staff would work with builders and developers if they were able to show significant progress; otherwise, the violation would be abated and, if unpaid, a lien placed on the property. Ms. Jones encouraged the City Council to forward any complaint calls they might receive to Community Development. They keep detailed records and photographs of the violations and abatements in order to protect the City against actions taken by state and federal agencies that monitor stormwater compliance. She noted that the City of Moore is the first city in the metro area to abate stormwater violations. She stated that the other cities appear to be watching to see if the program succeeds or fails; therefore, she would be working closely with Inspector Mike Harlan to ensure the program works.

Councilman Krows moved to award Bid No. 089-007 "Stormwater Quality Nuisance Abatement" to Property Image Solutions, second by Councilwoman Haworth. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

Agenda Item Number 10 being:

CONSIDER AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF A VEHICLE FOR THE DEPUTY FIRE CHIEF USING REMAINING FUNDS IN THE MPWA EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT.

Steve Eddy, City Manager, indicated the language for the agenda item changed slightly in that staff was originally requesting to purchase a vehicle out of the MPWA equipment account for the Deputy Fire Chief, and was now requesting the vehicle for the Fire Chief. Mr. Eddy advised that there was approximately \$25,000 remaining in restricted funds that must be used only for equipment purchases. He added that the account needs to be closed.

Councilwoman Haworth moved to authorize the purchase of a vehicle for the Fire Department using remaining funds in the MPWA Equipment Account, second by Councilman Krows. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING WAS RECESSED AND THE MOORE PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY MEETING CONVENED AT 7:24 P.M.

Agenda Item Number 11 being:

CONSENT DOCKET:

- A) RECEIVE AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MOORE PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY MEETING HELD JANUARY 20, 2009.
- B) RATIFY ACTION OF CITY COUNCIL FOR USE OF MPWA EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT FUNDS.
- C) APPROVE AND RATIFY CLAIMS AND EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2008-2009 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$378,830.52.

Trustee McMillan moved to approve the consent docket in its entirety, second by Trustee Haworth. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

Trustee Roberts made a motion to move Agenda Item No. 13 forward on the agenda to the MPWA meeting, second by Trustee Krows. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

Agenda Item Number 13 being:

CONSIDER AUTHORIZING STAFF TO SOLICIT BIDS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PHASE I OF THE NEW WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT.

Steve Eddy, City Manager, indicated that this was the first phase of the new wastewater treatment plant project. Engineer Satish Dasharathy was in attendance at the meeting to discuss bidding for the construction of the facility. Mr. Eddy advised that the City was under Consent Order to begin construction by March 2009. He stated that the plans have been completed for Phase I of the project.

Mr. Dasharathy stated that the project would involve providing temporary storage for approximately 6 million gallons of wastewater in two concrete lined basins, to allow the wastewater to be stored while making room for the next phase of the project. The estimated cost of the project would be around \$3.5 to \$4 million and would take approximately six months to 200 days to construct. If Council authorizes the solicitation of bids it would be funded through the Oklahoma Water Resources Board ("OWRB"). The basins would be located south of the existing earth lined ponds. Mr. Dasharathy indicated that after Council approves solicitation of bids he anticipated the bid opening occurring in early March 2009 with construction beginning toward the end of the month.

Mr. Eddy advised that the City made application to the Oklahoma Water Resources Board for full financing of the wastewater treatment plant in the amount of \$32 million. Formal approval of the application would be on a future agenda for consideration along with a review of the City's rate structures.

Preliminary approval by the OWRB of the City's application would be scheduled for their March meeting.

Trustee Krows moved to authorize staff to solicit bids for construction of Phase I of the new wastewater treatment plant, second by Trustee Haworth. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

THE MOORE PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY MEETING WAS RECESSED AND THE MOORE RISK MANAGEMENT MEETING CONVENED AT 7:30 P.M.

Agenda Item Number 12 being:

CONSENT DOCKET:

- A) ACCEPT THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MOORE RISK MANAGEMENT MEETING HELD JANUARY 20, 2009.
- B) APPROVE AND RATIFY CLAIMS AND EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2008-2009 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$64,320.40.

Trustee Haworth moved to approve the consent docket in its entirety, second by Trustee Krows. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

THE RISK MANAGEMENT MEETING WAS RECESSED AND THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING RECONVENED AT 7:31 P.M.

Agenda Item Number 14 being:

NEW BUSINESS:

- A) CITIZENS' FORUM FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA.

Betty Hall appeared before Council to request that the City extend the deadlines for picking up flowers at the cemeteries. Ms. Hall said she had spoken with Assistant City Manager Stan Drake in December 2007 regarding the issue. At that point the flowers had to be removed the first week of January, and Mr. Drake agreed that the flowers could be left until February 1st. Ms. Hall stated that by 2009 the flowers were again being picked up the beginning of January. Ms. Hall commented that she understood that during mowing season the flowers must be removed to allow maintenance of the cemetery. However, during the months of December and January, while everything is dormant, she felt that flowers should be left on the graves. Ms. Hall also complained that the signage at the cemetery was unclear.

Mayor Lewis asked staff if it was possible for the deadline to be extended until February 1st. City Manager Steve Eddy indicated that the schedule for the removal of flowers had historically been a struggle. The flowers must be removed in terms of maintenance and aesthetics. He felt it was important to establish a routine and make it clear to everyone of the requirements. Mr. Eddy commented that staff tried to be sensitive to the needs of those individuals who have loved ones at the cemetery, but if the schedule was changed for one person, another might want a different date, making it difficult for people to know what to expect and when the deadlines occur. Stan Drake, Assistant City Manager, stated that this issue had been discussed endlessly. The main issue is that the City must be consistent with the schedule. He agreed that the grass was dormant during January; however, funerals were still occurring requiring graves to be opened and closed using large pieces of equipment. He added that there was not enough room to maneuver the equipment in the older part of the cemetery, and private property could be damaged during the process. People have finally learned the dates and know when to remove the flowers. He felt that rules must be set and followed. Mr. Drake indicated that he had previously met with several people who had complaints about this issue and tried to take everyone's concerns into consideration. Mr. Drake stated that any item removed from the ground would be stored in the event the owner wished to reclaim it. However, the City never removes items from the monument itself. Councilman Roberts asked what the rules were for Resthaven and Sunnylane cemeteries. Mr. Drake indicated that they all have similar rules but the dates vary.

Councilman Cavnar asked if staff felt the current schedule worked well overall. Mr. Drake felt it was working because the crews do not have to remove as many items from the grave sites. Councilman

Roberts felt that a decision could not be reached immediately, but felt more discussion should occur regarding the problem.

Ms. Hall stated that only three signs, two at Moore Cemetery and one at Smith Cemetery, would be affected. She felt it would be possible to change the date from January to February without much trouble. She indicated that she had a schedule from Resthaven that reflected a grave blanket could be placed out the second week of November and left through January 31st.

Mayor Lewis stated that her request would be considered.

B) ITEMS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL/MPWA TRUSTEES.

Councilman Roberts stated that he had a citizen approach him regarding the establishment of a youth council. He advised that Oklahoma City has a similar council comprised of juniors and seniors in high school that met several times a year. He wondered about the possibility of Moore having a youth council. Councilman Roberts realized that it would take a commitment from several people to make it happen but didn't feel it would be costly. Mayor Lewis commented that he had received the same phone call and indicated that all three high schools have a student council and he didn't know how that would be worked out. Councilman Roberts felt that one of the requirements must be that the student be a resident of Moore, automatically excluding one of the high schools. Mayor Lewis asked what the purpose of the youth council would be. Councilman Roberts stated one of things the council would do was model legislatures. Mayor Lewis asked if Councilwoman Haworth had previously attempted to implement a youth council. She indicated that she had attempted a similar program and could not get the kids involved. She felt it was possible they had not used the right venues to get the word out. Councilman Roberts suggested that he could check into what Oklahoma City's youth council does and report back to the City Council.

C) ITEMS FROM THE CITY/TRUST MANAGER.

Steve Eddy, City Manager, advised that the Public Works employees and Silver Star crews did a great job on ice and snow removal during the recent bad weather. There were a lot of overtime hours put in that sometimes lasted overnight. He congratulated them for a job well done.

Mayor Lewis thanked Stan Drake, Assistant city Manager for filling in for the City Manager when he was off sick for a few days.

Agenda Item Number 15 being:

ADJOURNMENT

Councilwoman Haworth moved to adjourn the City Council meeting, second by Councilman Cavnar. Motion carried unanimously.

Ayes: Krows, McMillan, Haworth, Cavnar, Roberts, Milum, Lewis
Nays: None

The City Council, Moore Public Works Authority, and the Moore Risk Management meetings were adjourned at 7:50 p.m.

TRANSCRIBED BY:

RHONDA BAXTER, Executive Assistant

RECORDED BY:

CAROL FOLSOM, Purchasing Agent

FOR:

SHELIA HAWORTH, MPWA Secretary

FOR:

KATHY MCMILLAN, MEDA Secretary

These minutes passed and approved as noted this ____ day of _____, 2009.

ATTEST:

JIM CORBETT, JR., City Clerk